

"Who Killed Our Wound Center?"

Toni Turner, RCP, CHT, CWS



Who Killed Our Wound Center?

- You run a busy clinic providing advanced wound care procedures, services and modalities.
- Your waiting room is full, your clinicians can barely keep up, but your hospital administrator says that you are sinking faster than the Titanic from a financial standpoint.
- How is that possible...we seem so busy?
- It's time to find out who is killing your wound center by playing "Wound Center Clue"



Where did the fatality occur?:

- Registration
- Wrong information entered
- Exam room
- Inaccurate charge ticket filled out
- Front Office
- > Wrong charges keyed
- Coding room
- Wrong revenue code/modifier
- Billing room
- > Bill Cycle
- Third party submission
- Edits outdated in scrubber



The Suspects:

- 1. Miss White in the Business Office with the *Chargemaster*
- Professor Plum the clinician with his Super bill
- 3. Miss Scarlet in Clinic Front Office with the *Charge Entry Process*
- 4. Col. Mustard in Utilization
 Management with *Coding*
- Mrs. Peacock, A/R Management with the *Billing Cycle*



Mrs. White who hardcodes the CHARGEMASTER

The Chargemaster:

 Includes a core group of data elements that correspond to all of the services, procedures and supplies that may be used in each service line of your facility.



CHARGE DESCRIPTION MASTER (Quiet but...deadly)

In addition to the list of services, the CDM electronic file includes the following:

- unique reference identifier
- the procedure or service description
- the appropriate HCPCS/CPT code (if available)
- the UB-04 revenue code number
- unit of service and/or multiplier
- corresponding charge dollar amount



CDM Number	CDM Service Description	HCPCS/ CPT	UB04 Rev Code	UOS	Charge Amount
4500100	ED VISIT LEVEL I	99281	450	1	\$200.00

Can the CHARGEMASTER kill?

 A poorly constructed chargemaster can cause inaccurate claims to be submitted which may result in denials and delays in payment.





Chargemaster Homicide?



CDM#	Description	ΟΤ	Charge	Rev	CPC/CP1
	PUNCTURE ASPIRATION OF ABSCE		170.50	0361	10160
	I&D POST-OP WOUND	1	2,910.50	0361	10180
	PARING BENIGN LESION ONE	. 1	285.75	0361	11055
	PARING BENIGN LESION 2 to 4	1	574.50	0361	11056
	PARING BENIGN LESION>4		845.75	0361	11057
	BIOPSY SKIN ONE LESION	1	161.75	0361	11100
	BIOPSY SKIN EACH ADDL	1	161.75	0361	11101
	SEL DEBRID WOO ANES <=20 SQCM	1	256.50	0420	97597
	SELECT DEBRID W/O ANES>20SQC		256.50	0420	97598
	NONSELECTIVE DEBRID W/Q ANDS		129.50	0420	97602
	WOUND VAC 50 SQ CM OR LESS	1	140.50	0420	97605
140400534	WOUND VAC>50 SQ CM 🧹 🔪	1	181.75	0420	97606
140400535	DEBRID SKIN PARTIAL THICK 1	-1	218.25	0361	11040
140400536	DEBRIDE FULL THICKNESS	1	519.75	0361	11041
140400537	DEBRID SKIN SUBCU	V	735.0	0361	11042
140400538	DEBRID SKIN SUBCU	L	* 870.2	0361	11043
140400539	DEBRID SKIN SUBCU MUSC BONE I	1	1,081.75	0361	11044
140400540	APPLY TOTAL CONTACT CAST	1	364.00	0361	29445
140400541	APPLY UNNA BOOT PASTE	1	208.00	0420	29580
140400542	APPLY UNNA BOOT PASTE	1	352.50	0420	2958050
140400543	TRIM NONDYSTROPHIC NAILS	1	128.75	0361	11719
140400544	DEBRIDE NAIL 1 to 5	1	186.50	0361	11720
140400545	DEBRIDE NAILS>5	1	186.50	0361	11721
140400546	AVULSION OF NAIL PLATE 1	1	264.50	0361	11730
140400547	AVULSION OF NAIL PLATES>1	1	183.50	0361	11732

Revenue Codes are harmless...right?

А	В	С	D	Е
2008 Revenue center ID	Description (applicable to CY 2008 claims)	Used in 2010 OPPS NPRM (2008 claims)	Primary cost center source for CCR	Primary cost center name
0360	Operating Room Services	Y	3700	Operating Room
0361	Operating Room Services: Minor surgery	Y	3700	Operating Room
0510	Clinic	Y	6000	Clinic
0760	Treatment/Observation Room	Y	6000	Clinic
0761	Treatment/Observation Room: Treatment room		6000	Clinic



Exhibit "A", Deadly Chargemaster

CDM# [DM# Description					barge	Rev	CPC/CP1
140400523 F	PUNCTU	CTURE ASPIRATION OF ABSCE		📂 Wrong Revenue		10160		
140400524 I	&D POS	T-OP WOUND					10180	
140400525 F	PARING		N ONE		1	Co	des	11055
140400526 F	PARING	Outdated	N 2 to 4		1	574.50	0361	11056
140400527 F	PARING		N>4		1	845.75	0361	11057
140400528 E	BIOPSY	descriptions.	DN		1	161.75	0361	11100
140400529 E	BIOPSY		DL .		1	161.75	0361	11101
140400530 5	SEL DEB	RID W/O ANES	<=20 SQ	CM	1	256.50	0420	7
140400531 5	SELECT I	DEBRID W/O AI	NES>20S	SQC	1	256.50	0420	Deleted 8
140400532 N	NONSEL	ECTIVE DEBRIE		٧ES	1	129.50	0420	Codes 2
140400533 V	WOUND	VAC 50 SQ CM	OR LESS	5	1	140.50	042	5
140400534 V	WOUND Y	VAC>50 SQ CM			1	181.75	0420	97606
140400535 E		SKIN PARTIAL THICK L1		1	218.25	0361	11040	
140400536 E	DEBRIDE	FULL THICKNESS		1	519.75	0361	11041	
140400537 E	DEBRID S	SKIN SUBCU & TISSUE		1	735.00	0361	11042	
140400538 E	DEBRID S	SKIN SUBCU TI	SS&MU	Dur	plicate short descriptions			11043
140400539 E	DEBRID S	SKIN SUBCU M	LISC BO	•			•	11044
140400540 A	APPLY TO	OTAL CONTAC	T CAST	DUT	relat	εά το αίπε	erent servio	ce. 29445
140400541 <i>A</i>	APPLY U	LY UNNA BOOT PASTE			1	208.00	0420	29580
140400542 <i>A</i>	APPLY U	UNNA BOOT PASTE		1	352.50	0420	2958050	
140400543 7	TRIM NO	ONDYSTROPHIC NAILS		1	128.75	0361	117	
140400544 [DEBRIDE	E NAIL 1 to 5			1	186.50	0361	11,20
140400545 E	DEBRIDE	E NAILS>5			1	186.50	0361	11721
140400546 A	AVULSIO	ON OF NAIL PLATE 1			1	264.50	0361	11730
140400547 A	AVULSIO	N OF NAIL PLA	TES>1		1	183.50	0361	11732

CHARGE DESCRIPTION MASTER

- The CDM is one of the most complex master files within any hospital facility and is subject to continuous updates. Proper maintenance is essential to ensure proper charging for services and supplies within financial and regulatory parameters.
 - Poor maintenance of the CDM can put the hospital at financial risk and may introduce risk of regulatory non-compliance.

Because the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes and APCs are updated regularly, hospitals should pay particular attention to the task of updating the CDM to ensure the assignment of correct codes to outpatient claims. This should include timely updates, proper use of modifiers, and correct associations between procedure codes and revenue codes.

- OIG Compliance Guidance for Hospitals

How about that Superbill Professor Plum ?

 A Superbill or Charge Ticket is a mechanism for communicating the services and/or procedure information from the clinical provider to the coder or data-entry staff.





Superbill ... a heavy blow!





WRENCH

Miss Scarlet at Clinic Front Desk with the Charge Entry Process

 Keys daily charges of clinical services and procedures performed into hospital information system via superbill or charge ticket.





The Charge Entry Process can HANG you!

- Front office staff has limited access to communicate change of principal diagnosis in a single encounter to coders.
- Typically have been trained on the "how to" of data entry but not on the difference between each abbreviated descriptor which can be very similar for a series of CPT/HCPC codes.





Could it be Colonel Mustard.....the Coder?

- The medical coder assigns alpha-numeric codes that are specific to the patient's symptoms and diagnosis and identify each procedure and other service performed.
- Hospitals and medical providers submit the coded data created by Coders to insurance companies—or to the government in the case of Medicare and Medicaid recipients for reimbursement of expenses.
- Coding accuracy is highly important to healthcare organizations, and has an impact on revenues and describing health outcomes.





Inpatient vs. Outpatient Coding

- IP codes for stays
- Diagnosis condition coding
- OP codes for *encounters*
- Diagnosis *problem* coding
- A common problem that arises in the outpatient arena involves the assignment of codes for primary and secondary diagnosis.
- Commonly done procedures in the Outpatient Wound Clinic require dual diagnosis on claim to qualify for payment.
- Many of the guidelines related to the use of the ICD-9-CM coding system are the same as those for inpatient visits, so the outpatient coder must also review the National and Local Coverage Decision Policies that apply to their settings.



Mrs. Peacock, A/R Management with the *Billing Cycle*

- Performs all billing functions related to submission of claims and collections.
 Determines billing cycle
- Determines billing cycle (daily or monthly).





How can the Billing Cycle Kill an innocent OP Wound Clinic?

 Repetitive billing woes! Services repeated over a span of time and billed monthly with designated revenue codes are defined as repetitive services.





Revenue Codes which *can* be billed repetitively

Revenue Code	Type of Service		
0290-0299	Durable Medical Equipment (DME) rental		
0410, 0412 and 0419	Resp In the case of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT), Medicare requires the		
0420-0429	Physi hospital use the revenue code 0413 for		
0430-0439	Occu the provision of hyperbaric services.		
0440-0449	Spee Note that this revenue code is NOT on the list of services which can be		
0550-0559	Skille billed as repetitive.		
0820-0859	Kidney dialysis treatments		
0482 and 0943	Cardiac rehabilitation services		
0948	Pulmonary rehabilitation services		

The plot thickens....

Repetitive billing = single claim submission
Single UBo4 claim = single primary code field

63 TREAT		64 DOCUMENT CONTROL NUMBER
	С	
69 ADMIT 70 PATIENT DX PRINCIPAL PROCEDURE 2. OTHER PROCEDURE CODE	OCEDURE	5-2
c. OTHER PROCEDURE d. OTHER PRO	OCEDURE	
BO REMARKS	B1CC a	POLICE ())
	b	POLICE LINE DO NOT CROS
	d	

Get a clue!

 Patient may receive multiple procedures or services throughout the month that require dual diagnosis yet with different diagnosis codes to be reported as primary!



Dueling Diagnosis?!



- Claims for <u>HBO</u> for the treatment of diabetic wounds of the lower extremities require documentation of dual diagnoses. An ICD-9-CM code from either the 250.70–250.73 or 250.80–250.83 range (representing a diabetes-related problem) and one of the following ICD-9-CM codes: 707.10, 707.11, 707.12, 707.13, 707.14, 707.15, or 707.19 (representing a lower extremity wound) must be reported.
- Claims for <u>skin substitutes (Apligraf –Dermagraft)</u> Dual diagnosis requirement for coding neuropathic diabetic ulcers.
 Code the ulcer as the primary diagnosis. Code diabetes with neurologic manifestations as the secondary diag

Or could the villain actually be *in* the Clinic??

Death by Documentation!!



Impending Medicare Bankruptcy

- Medicare will go bankrupt in either 2024 or 2016, depending on how you calculate the effect of Obamacare (2012 Annual Report of the Boards of Trustees of the Federal Hospital Insurance and Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Funds).
- Improper payments are a significant contributor to this problem.
 - >30% of Medicare payments are "improper"



Medicare Cost and Non-Interest Income by Source as a Percentage of GDP

Coming for the Money . . . alphabet soup of auditing agencies!

 RACs, ZPICs, CERTs, SIUs, etc.

 Not a matter of if...it's a matter of when!



RAC Auditors

- RAC: Recovery Audit Contractors
- Mission: reduce Medicare improper payments (over or underpayments)
- Work on commission
 - Receive 9% 12.5% of everything they *collect*
 - Can go back as far as 36 months
- Use proprietary software programs to identify potential payment errors (e.g. duplicate payments, fiscal intermediaries' mistakes, medical necessity and coding)



The original "rack" auditor (which might have been easier)

How do the RACs Find the Money?

Look for <u>statistical anomalies</u>

- It is imperative that you know not only whether you can justify your billed level of service, **but whether the distribution of your charges falls in an acceptable range from a statistical standpoint**
 - From March 2005-March 2008, the RACs corrected more than \$1.03 billion in Medicare improper payments.

Reasons for Recoupment for "Improper Payments" by the RAC

- Services that did not meet Medicare's *medical necessity* criteria (e.g. therapy sessions that were excessive).
- 2. Services coded incorrectly (e.g. principal diagnosis on the claim did not match principal diagnosis on the medical records).
- 3. Failure to support claims with *proper medical documentation* (e.g. medical records did not describe adequately the procedures reported on the claim).
- 4. Submittal of claims to Medicare that should have been submitted to another insurer.
- Other reasons (e.g. submitting duplicate claims or using outdated fee schedules).

Documentation is KEY to hanging on to your \$\$!

- The medical record itself should read like a story telling about the patient and how you cared for that patient.
- A good medical record gives the reader, *perhaps even years down the road*, an understanding of the thought process that went into the decision-making and ultimately the treatment of the patient.

Documentation and the Absent Minded Professor

"I'm a good doctor, I just don't like the paperwork"



"The OIG finds that excuse neither charming nor persuasive"!

Chief Medical Officer OIG/HHS

How to Establish Medical Necessity for HBOT: Option # 1

707.X related to Diabetes (280) Start HBOT 2.0 ATA x 30 treatments

That was easy. What is wrong with that?



How to Establish Medical Necessity for HBOT: Option #2

Paste this into your EHR (EHRs are GREAT for this purpose, saves typing!): "Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is the administration of oxygen at greater than sea level pressure. It has been shown to increase tissue PO2 and enhance vascularity among patients with ischemic diabetic foot ulcers. Pooled data from 3 trials with 118 patients showed a reduction in the risk of major amputation when adjunctive HBOT was used, compared to the alternative therapy (RR: 0.31; 95%-CI 0.13 to 0.71). Both BCBS and the ADA have concluded that there is sufficient evidence to support the use of adjunctive HBOT in the treatment of adequately perfused chronic non-healing wounds of the lower extremity." This patient has a Wagner III Diabetic foot ulcer and needs HBOT.



Thank goodness for EHRs! What's wrong with that?

Indication vs. Medical Necessity



Documenting diagnosis (ICD-9) alone is not enough to reveal the big picture!

You need to Connect the Dots!

- Review the facts—describe the patient's history in light of the specific coverage policy requirements.
- Tell the story (Connect the dots)
 - Detail how THIS PARTICULAR PATIENT meets the coverage indication by having failed 30 days of care including revascularization, infection control, nutrition control, off-loading, etc.



How to Establish Medical Necessity for HBOT: Option #3

Gather the evidence, and MAKE THE CASE

 Support the ICD-9 code you provided with further documentation if necessary (e.g. MRI), exam findings.

You have to MAKE THE CASE FOR EACH PATIENT at EVERY ENCOUNTER!



Conflicting documentation as bad as no documentation!

Conflicting documentation between assessment and debridement details.

Plantar Heel

Wound Measurements		Wound Margin: Thickene	ed and Rolled Under
Length: (cm) Width: (cm) Depth: (cm) Hypergranulated: Area: (sq cm) based on n*(L*W)/4 Volume: (cm ³) based on Area*D	2.4 2.4 0.5 No 4.52 2.26	Adherent Yellow Slough: Moist Yellow Slough: Dry Black Eschar: Moist Black Eschar: Epithelialization: Granulation: Pink:	Percentage 26-50% None None 26-50% 26-50% Yes

Debridement Deta <u>ils</u>	
Patient Name: Patient Number: Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1948 Patient Account Number:	Date: 2/17/2011 Clinician: Physician / Extender:
Debridement Performed for Assessment: Performed By: Debridement: Time-Out Taken: Pain Control: Level: Area (sq cm): Tissue and other material debrided: Instrument: Bleeding: Hemostasis Achieved: Procedural Pain: Post Procedural Pain: Response to Treatment: Notes	Wound #6 Right,Plantar Heel Physician Excisional Yes 2% Lido Skin/Sub/Tiss/Musc 4.52 Necrotic/Eschar, Fibrin, Exudate, Other, Skin, Subcutaneous, Slough Curette Moderate Pressure 4 1 Procedure was tolerated well
C&S SENT Electronic Signature(s)	
Signed By:	Date: 02/17/2011 11:00:48 AM 02/17/2011 11:11:07 AM 02/17/2011 11:33:28 AM

No narrative of procedure.

Four months and <u>8</u> more *muscle* debridements billed later...

Wound Measurements		Wound Description	
Length: (cm) Width: (cm) Depth: (cm) Hypergranulated:	1.6 1.2 1.3 No	Wound Progress: Wagner Scale: Exudate Amount: Exudate Type: Odor: Pain: Wound Margin:	Improving Grade 2 Moderate Sero-sanguineous None 0 Thickened
Area: (sq cm) based on n*(L*W)/4 Volume: (cm³) based on Area*D	1,508 1,96	Adherent Yellow Slough: Moist Yellow Slough: Dry Black Eschar: Moist Black Eschar: Granulation: Pale Grey: Pink:	Percentage 1-25% None None 76-100% Yes Yes

Debridement Details	
Patient Name: Patient Number: Patient Date of Birth: Patient Account Number:	Date: 6/2/2011 Clinician: Physician / Extender:
Debridement Performed for Assessment:	Wound #6 Right,Plantar Heel
Performed By:	Physician
Debridement:	Excisional
Time-Out Taken:	Yes
Pain Control:	2% Lido
Level:	Skin/Sub/Tiss/Musc
Area (sq cm):	1.51
Tissue and other material debrided:	Fibrin, Muscle, Exudate, Subcutaneous, Slough
Instrument:	Curette
Bleeding:	Moderate
Hemostasis Achieved:	Pressure
Procedural Pain:	0
Post Procedural Pain:	0
Response to Treatment:	Procedure was tolerated well
Electronic Signature(s)	
Signed By:	Date:
	06/02/2011 11:42:04 AM
Entered By:	on 06/02/2011 11:24:20 AM

No narrative of procedure.

Payer Utilization Guidelines

- Exceeding quantity limitations set forth by payer policies can lead to denials and/or recoupment as not "reasonable and necessary".
- Recent InRich audit: Patient reviewed had been in service for 12 months with a mastectomy wound having received 39 muscle debridement's on the same wound!



Quantity of data in Medical Record is GROWING.

- In order to meet payer requirements for documentation requirements, no longer possible for clinicians to rely on memory alone.
- Tracking Utilization caps
- Advanced Beneficiary of Notice (ABN)







Local Coverage Determination (LCD) for Application of Bioengineered Skin Substitutes: Ulcers (of Lower Extremities) (L24273) Documentations Requirements

The medical record must clearly show that the criteria listed in Indications and Limitation of Coverage and/or Medical Necessity section have been met. The ulcer must be measured at the beginning of conservative treatment, following cessation of conservative treatment and at the beginning of the skin substitute treatment. Clearly, if during treatment the ulcer shows obvious signs of worsening or lack of treatment response, continuing skin substitute treatment would be considered questionable absent documentation of a reasonable rationale for doing so, and other treatment modalities must be considered.

Studies have documented that, for Q4106, survival of the dermal substitute decreases significantly when the twenty-four (24) steps noted in the FDA labeling are not followed. **The documentation must show** that these twenty-four (24) steps were followed.

NAS will cover a maximum of eight (8) applications of Q4106 for the treatment of any given lesion. In addition, **the medical record must clearly document** that conservative pretreatment wound management has been tried and failed to induce healing. Also, when used for billing of Dermagraft®, **the record must document** that the **twenty-four (24) steps** involved in the correct use of this product, as described in the clinical trials leading to FDA approval and included in the manufacturer's "Directions for Use" as of the date of development of this LCD have been followed. The provider must take notice of these specific instructions for use. They will not be listed in this policy.

The medical record must document that wound treatment by this method is accompanied by appropriate wound dressing during the healing period and by appropriate compressive therapy for foot ulcer(s) and appropriate steps to off-load wound pressure during follow-up. Adequate patient compliance must be clearly ascertained and documented during such treatment.

In Conclusion:

"Don't look where you fell, look where you slipped."

African Proverb

Be Proactive not Reactive!

- Self Audit regularly
- Initiate a compliance program customized to your service line.
- Involve physicians and staff
- Know your business better than any auditor!

Is your Hospital Without a clue?

Who is killing your clinic today?



www.inrichadvisors.com

Copyright © 2013, InRich Advisors

